

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,485		02/13/2004	John Archer	577-526 CON	8069
23869	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
		ARON, LLP	HARRIS, A	HARRIS, ANTON B	
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				2831	
				DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/779,485	ARCHER ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Anton B Harris	2831
Daried fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period under the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of the practice o	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	• •
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	÷
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
2)	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Der No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/779,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-4, filed 02 September 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Roesch et al. D462,664 S.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-9 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doherty (6,147,304) in view of Roesch et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/779,485

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 1, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses a single gang electrical outlet box assembly comprising:

a generally rectangular electrical box 10 (see fig 1) having a first side wall 17 spaced apart from a second side wall 29 (see fig 1), said first 17 and second 29 side walls defining a first mounting axis therebetween generally parallel to said side walls 17, 29 and

a supporting structure 40 (see figs 1-2) extending from said first side wall 17, and a first mounting axis (fig 1 above reference line 23), a first (fig 1 above reference line 23) and second (fig 1 above reference line 23) mounting axes, but lacks a first and second mounting axes being substantially equidistant from a centerline of the first side wall, with the first mounting axis being closer to the first side wall than the second side wall.

Roesch et al. (figure 1) teaches a first and second mounting axes (see figure to the farthest right) being substantially equidistant from a centerline of the first side wall (see figure 1), with the first mounting axis (see figure to the farthest right) being closer to the first side wall (see figure 1) than the second side wall (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Doherty by providing a first and second mounting axes being substantially equidistant from a centerline of the first side wall, with the first mounting axis being closer to the first side wall than the second side wall in order to secure the box in various positions in view of the teachings of Roesch et al.

Regarding claim 2, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses said electrical box further comprises a back wall 19 extending between said first 17 and second 29 side walls (see fig 2).

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses an electrical box further comprises a top wall 15 and a bottom wall 16 connecting said first and second side walls. (see fig 1).

Page 4

Regarding claim 4, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses access openings 21 adjacent said first and second side walls (see column 6 lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 5, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses said top 15 and bottom 16 walls include mounting flanges on an exterior surface of said top 15 and bottom 16 walls (see fig 1).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses that said electrical fixture is selected from the group consisting of switches and receptacles (see column 2 lines 64-67, column 3 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 8, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses that a supporting structure comprises an upper leg 50 (near ref number 15) spaced apart from a lower leg 50 (near ref number 16), said upper 50 (near ref number 15) and lower 50 (near ref number 16) legs connecting said first side wall 17 to a joining strut 52 extending between distal ends of said upper (near ref number 15) and lower (near ref number 16) legs.

Regarding claim 9, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses that upper (near ref number 15) and lower legs (near ref number 16) mounting elements located along said second mounting access (fig 1 above reference line 23).

Regarding claim 11, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses a multigang outlet comprising: a generally rectangular electrical box 10 (see fig 1) having a first side wall 17 spaced apart from a second side wall 29 (see fig 1), said electrical box 10 defining a first electrical fixture mounting axis adjacent said first side wall (see fig 1) and

Page 5

a support structure 40 external of said first side wall (see figs 1-2), and a second electrical fixture mounting axis (figure 2 above ref line 24) adjacent said second side wall 29, each of said fixture mounting axes being generally parallel to said side walls 17, 29; a third mounting axis (fig 2 above ref line 44) generally parallel to said first side wall 17 (see fig 1), a first (fig 1 above reference line 23) and second (fig 1 above reference line 23) mounting axes, but lacks a first and second mounting axes being substantially equidistant from a centerline of the first side wall, with the first mounting axis being closer to the first side wall than the second side wall.

Roesch et al. (figure 1) teaches a first and second mounting axes (see figure to the farthest right) being substantially equidistant from a centerline of the first side wall (see figure 1), with the first mounting axis (see figure to the farthest right) being closer to the first side wall (see figure 1) than the second side wall (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Doherty by providing a first and second mounting axes being substantially equidistant from a centerline of the first side wall, with the first mounting axis being closer to the first side wall than the second side wall in order to secure the box in various positions in view of the teachings of Roesch et al.

Regarding claim 12, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses a back wall 19 extending between said first and second side walls (see fig 1).

Regarding claim 14, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses access openings 21 adjacent said first and second side walls (see column 6 lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 15, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses mounting flanges on an exterior surface of said top 15 and bottom 16 walls (see fig 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/779,485

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claims 16-17, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses that an electrical fixture is selected from the group consisting of switches and receptacles (col. 2 lines 64-67, col 3 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 18, Doherty (col. 2, lines 1-67) discloses a generally rectangular housing 10 having a back wall 19, a perimetrical side wall 17 extending from said back wall 19 and an open front face defining a box interior,

said perimetrical wall including opposed top 15 and bottom 16 walls and opposed side walls (see fig 1)., each of said top 15 and bottom 16 walls including mounting members 23, 24 said mounting members being aligned along an axis generally parallel to said side walls (see fig 1), but lacks an axis being closer to one of the side walls than to the other side wall.

Roesch et al. (figure 1) teaches an axis (fig 1 above reference line 23) being closer to one of the side walls (see figure 1) than to the other side wall.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Doherty by providing an axis being closer to one of the side walls than to the other side wall in order to secure the box in various positions in view of the teachings of Roesch et al.

Regarding claim 19, a single gang electrical outlet box comprising:

an electrical outlet box having a box interior defined between a first sidewall 17 and a second side wall 29, and

a support structure 40 (see figs 1-2) extending from said first side wall,

said outlet box including electrical fixture mounting members 23, 24 being spaced apart along a first axis between and generally parallel to said first 17 and second 29 side walls; and

Application/Control Number: 10/779,485

Art Unit: 2831

said support structure including communication fixture mounting members being spaced apart along a second axis exterior and generally parallel to said first side wall, but lacks a first and second axes being generally equidistant from said first side wall; said first axis being non-centrally located between said first and second side walls.

Roesch et al. (figure 1) teaches a first and second axes (see figure to the farthest right) being generally equidistant from the first side wall (see figure 1); the first axis (see figure to the farthest right) being non-centrally located between said first (see figure 1) and second side walls (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Doherty by providing a first and second axes being generally equidistant from said first side wall; said first axis being non-centrally located between said first and second side walls in order to secure the box in various positions in view of the teachings of Roesch et al.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doherty modified as taught by Roesch et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hansson (5,568,362).

Regarding claim 10, Doherty modified by Roesch et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, but lacks a first side wall further comprising cable holding flanges.

Hansson teaches a cable 18 holding flange 23.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the modified device of Doherty by providing a cable holding flange in order to secure cables within the box in view of the teachings of Hansson.

Art Unit: 2831

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anton B Harris whose telephone number is (571) 272-1976. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr.

Dean Reichard, can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

abh

11/29/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800